

Classification of symmetric block design for $(71, 21, 6)$ with nonabelian group of order 21

ESHREF ADEMAJ* AND MARIO ESSERT†

Abstract. *The existence of symmetric block designs for $(71, 21, 6)$ was doubtful until the discovery of Z. Janko and T. van Trung of the so-called “non-human” design [3]. In this paper we have classified designs with the above parameters, admitting all possible actions of the nonabelian group of order 21 on them, which is indeed the full automorphism group of the Janko-van Trung design. We have proved that there is only one symmetric block design for $(71, 21, 6)$, together with its dual, with the Frobenius group of order 21, namely the Janko-van Trung design.*

Key words: *block design, automorphism group, collineation*

AMS subject classifications: 05B05

Received November 24, 2003

Accepted March 1, 2004

1. Introduction

The existence of a symmetric block design on 71 points (lines) was an open question for a long time. It was proved in [3] that such a design exists, having the Frobenius group of order 21 as its full automorphism group. The design constructed there was shown not to be self-dual. In our investigations we are going to prove, up to isomorphisms and duality, that there are only three possibilities for orbit structures describing the action of the nonabelian group G of order 21 on a $(71, 21, 6)$ design. Two of them are not self-dual, while one of them is self-dual.

Restricting the two mainly different orbit structures to the action of a collineation of order 7 of G , with the help of a collineation of order 3 of G , we get 14 orbit structure refinements, 8 of them being non-self-dual and 6 of them being self-dual. All these structures have been indexed and herewith we have proved the following

Theorem 1. *There are only two symmetric block designs for $(71, 21, 6)$ admitting an action of the nonabelian group of order 21. These are the “non-human” Janko - van Trung designs.*

*Department of Mathematics and Nature Science, University of Prishtina, Kosova

†Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, University of Zagreb, Lučićeva 5, HR-10 000 Zagreb, Croatia, e-mail: messert@fsb.hr

The method of investigation of symmetric block designs based on tactical decompositions (see for example [2], page 210) using finite group theory and computer search, developed by Z. Janko (see [4]), is very useful for constructing symmetric block designs. We shall use this method for the investigation of symmetric block designs with parameters $(71, 21, 6)$, i.e. symmetric block designs consisting of 71 points and blocks, every block containing 21 points and any two different blocks intersecting at 6 points. It is well known that there are two groups of order 21, one is cyclic and the other nonabelian which is a Frobenius group. We shall denote this nonabelian group by

$$G = \langle \rho, \mu \mid \rho^7 = \mu^3 = 1, \rho^\mu \rho^2 \rangle \quad (1)$$

It is easy to see that G has one subgroup of order 7 and 7 subgroups of order 3 and that G is solvable. Without loss of generality we can represent the action of $\langle \rho \rangle$ on the 71 points of a design \mathcal{D} as

$$\rho = (\infty) (I_0 \ I_1 \ I_2 \ I_3 \ I_4 \ I_5 \ I_6), \quad I = 1, 2, \dots, 10,$$

where we have called ∞ the fixed point of \mathcal{D} , integers $1, 2, \dots, 10$ represent point orbits (the so-called “big numbers”) and the indices of these big numbers are integers $0, 1, \dots, 6$. Namely, it is an easy task to prove that the automorphism ρ can fix only one point and block.

2. Orbit structures

It can be seen, using elementary facts from group and design theory, that the only possible G -orbit partition has the following lengths: 1, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 21, 21. Hence, using the famous computer programs by V. Čepulić, up to isomorphisms and duality we got the following two possibilities for G -orbit structures of \mathcal{D} , which we shall call case A (non-self-dual) and case B (self-dual).

A	1	7	7	7	7	21	21
1	0	7	7	7	7	0	0
7	0	4	1	1	3	9	3
7	0	1	4	1	0	9	6
7	0	3	3	0	3	3	9
7	0	3	0	3	0	6	9
21	1	2	2	2	2	6	6
21	0	1	2	3	3	6	6

B	1	7	7	7	7	21	21
1	0	0	0	0	0	21	0
7	0	4	4	3	1	6	3
7	0	4	1	0	4	6	6
7	0	1	4	0	1	6	9
7	0	3	0	3	0	6	9
21	1	2	2	2	2	6	6
21	0	1	2	3	3	6	6

Restricting the above two G -orbit structures to the normal subgroup of order 7 of G , with the help of a collineation of order 3 of G , we have the following orbit structure for the subgroup $\langle \rho \rangle$ in case A:

	1	7 7 7 7	7 7 7	7 7 7
1	0	7 7 7 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
7	0	4 1 1 3	3 3 3	1 1 1
7	0	1 4 1 0	3 3 3	2 2 2
7	0	3 3 0 3	1 1 1	3 3 3
7	0	3 0 3 0	2 2 2	3 3 3
7	1	2 2 2 2	$f_1 f_2 f_3$	$f_4 f_5 f_6$
7	1	2 2 2 2	$g_1 g_2 g_3$	$g_4 g_5 g_6$
7	1	2 2 2 2	$h_1 h_2 h_3$	$h_4 h_5 h_6$
7	0	1 2 3 3	$i_1 i_2 i_3$	$i_4 i_5 i_6$
7	0	1 2 3 3	$j_1 j_2 j_3$	$j_4 j_5 j_6$
7	0	1 2 3 3	$k_1 k_2 k_3$	$k_4 k_5 k_6$

Here the unknowns $f_n, g_n, h_n, i_n, j_n, k_n, n = 1, 2, \dots, 6$, are appearances of the “big numbers” in the corresponding blocks which can be calculated using the so-called “Hamming relations” and “Game product relations” (see for example [2]). We have obtained up to isomorphism and duality, exactly 8 orbit structures in case A and in a similar way 6 orbit structures in case B.

We list here only two big number matrices (representing the orbit structures in a slightly different, but natural way) of our 8+6=14 structures.

STRUCTURE A_6

```

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
1 1 1 1 2 3 4 4 4 5 5 5 6 6 6 7 7 7 8 9 10
1 2 2 2 2 3 5 5 5 6 6 6 7 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 10
1 1 1 2 2 2 4 4 4 5 6 7 8 8 8 9 9 9 10 10 10
1 1 1 3 3 3 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 9 9 9 10 10 10
∞ 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 7 9 9 10 10 10 10
∞ 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 7 8 8 9 9 9 9
∞ 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 10 10
1 2 2 3 3 3 4 4 4 6 6 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 9 10 10
1 2 2 3 3 3 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 6 6 8 9 9 10 10 10
1 2 2 3 3 3 4 4 4 5 5 7 7 7 7 8 8 9 9 9 10

```

STRUCTURE B_1

```

5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10
1 1 1 1 2 4 4 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 10
1 2 2 2 2 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 9 9 9 10 10 10
1 1 1 3 3 3 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 9 9 9 10 10 10
∞ 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 6 6 7 7 7 7 8 9 9 10 10 10
∞ 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 6 8 8 9 9 9 10
∞ 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 10 10
1 2 2 3 3 3 4 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 9 9
1 2 2 3 3 3 4 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 7 8 8 10 10 10 10
1 2 2 3 3 3 4 4 4 5 5 5 6 7 7 9 9 9 9 10 10

```

The action of a collineation of order 3 of G on the “big numbers” is given by

$$\mu = (\infty)(1)(2)(3)(4)(5\ 7\ 6)(8\ 9\ 10) \quad \text{or} \quad (2)$$

$$\mu = (\infty)(1)(2)(3)(4)(5\ 7\ 6)(8\ 10\ 9), \quad (3)$$

whilst on the indices it is given by

$$\mu : x \mapsto 2x \pmod{7} \quad \text{or} \quad (4)$$

$$\mu : x \mapsto 4x \pmod{7}. \quad (5)$$

Note that the action of μ as described above can be assumed to act on the whole point set in four different ways, namely one can take any of the actions (2) or (3) and combine it with one of the actions (4) or (5). For practical reasons we have differed only which of the actions on the index set is assumed, and therefore call the action given in (4) as case *I* and the one in (5) as case *II*.

3. Indexing of the orbit structures

Now we index our $8+6=14$ refined orbit structures in cases *I* and *II*. All $14 \cdot 2 = 28$ cases have been indexed successfully via computer and we give here the results of this indexing for all these cases. We shall list here explicitly only cases $A_6(I)$ and $B_1(I)$.

For the fixed block of \mathcal{D} in case $A_6(I)$ we can set

$$l_0 = 1_0 \ 1_1 \ 1_2 \ 1_3 \ 1_4 \ 1_5 \ 1_6 \ 2_0 \ 2_1 \ 2_2 \ 2_3 \ 2_4 \ 2_5 \ 2_6 \ 3_0 \ 3_1 \ 3_2 \ 3_3 \ 3_4 \ 3_5 \ 3_6$$

For the next μ -invariant block we can of course set

$$l_1 = 1_a \ 1_b \ 1_c \ 1_d \ 2_e \ 3_f \ 4_g \ 4_h \ 4_i \ 5_j \ 5_k \ 5_l \ 6_m \ 6_n \ 6_p \ 7_q \ 7_r \ 7_s \ 8_t \ 9_u \ 10_v$$

To avoid the extremely large number of combinations of indices, we shall make use of the following permutations on 71 points which keep the action of the generators of the assumed automorphism group G invariant:

$$\tau : N_i \mapsto N_{-i} \pmod{7}$$

$$\mu : N_i \mapsto N_{2i} \pmod{7}$$

It is not hard to see that τ inverts ρ and μ sends ρ to ρ^2 . Also, τ centralizes μ .

Testing the “Hamming relations” for the four block representatives for the block orbits of length 7, we have achieved the following numbers of solutions for them:

	case A	case B
for $(l_1 + l_2)$	936 sol.	864 sol.
for $(l_1 + l_2 + l_3)$	3915 sol.	14346 sol.
for $(l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4)$	12222 sol.	49305 sol.

One of the most difficult steps in solving the problem was the construction of the next block representative (for the long orbit of length 21) which is compatible

with all blocks constructed so far. We spent a great deal of computer time, but at the end the result was affirmative. Luckily, from all 28 cases we saw that some of the structures have the same appearance of big numbers in cases I and II . Hence we got in fact only 12 structures to complete for indexing: $A_3(I)$, $A_3(II)$, $A_4(I)$, $A_4(II)$, $A_5(I)$, $A_6(I)$, $B_1(I)$, $B_2(II)$, $B_3(I)$, $B_4(I)$, $B_5(I)$ and $B_6(II)$.

The non self-dual case $A_6(I)$ gave one solution, which is exactly the Janko-van Trung design:

1_0	1_1	1_2	1_3	1_4	1_5	1_6	2_0	2_1	2_2	2_3	2_4	2_5	2_6	3_0	3_1
											3_2	3_3	3_4	3_5	3_6
1_0	1_1	1_2	1_4	2_0	3_0	4_1	4_2	4_4	5_0	5_1	5_5	6_0	6_4	6_6	7_0
											7_2	7_3	8_0	9_0	10_0
1_0	2_0	2_3	2_5	2_6	3_0	5_2	5_5	5_6	6_1	6_6	6_3	7_4	7_3	7_5	8_4
											8_6	9_2	9_3	10_1	10_5
1_1	1_2	1_4	2_3	2_5	2_6	4_3	4_5	4_6	5_0	6_0	7_0	8_3	8_4	8_5	9_5
											9_2	9_6	10_6	10_1	10_3
1_1	1_2	1_4	3_1	3_2	3_4	5_3	5_5	6_5	6_6	7_6	7_3	8_2	8_5	8_6	9_1
											9_6	9_3	10_4	10_3	10_5
∞	1_4	1_5	2_0	2_5	3_3	3_6	4_3	4_4	5_0	6_4	6_5	7_1	7_3	7_6	9_2
											9_4	10_1	10_2	10_4	10_5
∞	1_1	1_3	2_0	2_3	3_6	3_5	4_6	4_1	5_1	5_3	6_2	6_6	6_5	7_0	8_4
											8_1	9_2	9_4	9_1	9_3
∞	1_2	1_6	2_0	2_6	3_5	3_3	4_5	4_2	5_4	5_5	5_3	6_0	7_2	7_6	8_4
											8_1	8_2	8_6	10_1	10_2
1_5	2_2	2_4	3_0	3_4	3_6	4_2	4_3	4_6	6_0	6_2	6_5	6_6	7_5	7_6	8_0
											8_4	8_6	9_0	10_1	10_3
1_3	2_4	2_1	3_0	3_1	3_5	4_4	4_6	4_5	5_0	5_4	5_3	5_5	6_3	6_5	8_0
											9_2	9_6	10_0	10_1	10_5
1_6	2_1	2_2	3_0	3_2	3_3	4_1	4_5	4_3	5_6	5_3	7_0	7_1	7_6	7_3	8_4
											8_5	9_0	9_2	9_3	10_0

Each full block orbit can be obtained by a simple increment modulo 7 for each index in the block representative. The other cases gave no further designs, but we got solutions till the 48th block for each of them. Thus we have proved our main result.

References

- [1] TH. BETH, D. JUNGnickel, H. LENZ, *Design Theory*, Bibliographisches Institut, Mannheim-Wien-Zürich, 1985.
- [2] A. BEUTELSPACHER, *Einführung in die endliche Geometrie I, II*, Bibliographisches Institut, Mannheim-Wien-Zürich, 1982.
- [3] Z. JANKO, TRAN VAN TRUNG, *Construction of two symmetric block designs for $(71, 21, 6)$* , Discrete Mathematics **55**(1985), 327–328.
- [4] Z. JANKO, *Coset enumeration in groups and construction of symmetric designs*, Combinatorial Conference, Gaeta (1990).